HOWARD A, FISCHER (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

Email: FischerH@sec.gov

BENNETT ELLENBOGEN (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

Email: EllenbogenB@sec.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission 200 Vesey Street, Suite 400 New York, New York 10281 Telephone: (212) 336-0589

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

VS.

PREMIER HOLDING CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 8:18-cv-00813-CJC-KES

PLAINTIFF SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION'S RESPONSE TO MOTION BY ANTHONY DEMINT TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL TO DEFENDANTS PREMIER HOLDING CORP. AND RANDALL LETCAVAGE

Date: February 25, 2019

Time: 1:30 p.m Place: Courtroom 7C

Judge: Hon. Cormac J. Carney

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") does not oppose the motion of Anthony DeMint, Esq., to withdraw as counsel for Defendants Premier Holding Corporation ("Premier") and Randall Letcavage ("Letcavage") provided: (a) new counsel first enters an appearance on behalf of Premier and Letcavage, and (b) regardless of who serves as counsel, there are assurances that existing discovery obligations will be honored, including the holding of depositions scheduled for the week of February 25, 2019.

As Mr. DeMint concedes in his Declaration of January 18, 2019 [Docket Entry 81-1], his clients have repeatedly failed to demonstrate "responsiveness and compliance" (DeMint Decl. ¶ 8.) This failure has extended to discovery; forcing the SEC to move before Magistrate Scott to compel responses to multiple outstanding disclosure requests, ultimately resulting in a Court Order requiring Premier and Letcavage to provide fuller responses, among other relief. See Docket Entry 78 (January 8, 2019 Court Order Granting SEC's Discovery Motion). Unfortunately, although that Order required Premier and Letcavage to provide additional responses by January 28, 2019, they have failed to fully comply. While the SEC granted Premier and Letcavage additional time to complete their obligations before making any additional motion to compel or for sanctions, that agreement was reached with Mr. Darryl Sheetz¹ (Mr. DeMint did not respond to either emails or phone calls). Mr. Sheetz has advised the SEC that he will not be operating as substitute counsel upon Mr. DeMint's withdrawal. Consequently, the SEC is concerned that the substitution of counsel is yet another effort to delay complying with the SEC's outstanding discovery demands—to date, Premier and Letcavage have now had three different counsels representing them since the commencement of the investigation and, among other things, have used the change of counsel to delay responding to requests. Thus,

¹ Mr. Sheetz has entered an appearance of behalf of the defendants in this Court and had represented these defendants during much of the investigation underlying the current litigation.

the SEC does not object to Mr. DeMint's withdrawal provided that there are

assurances that substitute counsel will be in place and will comply with outstanding

discovery requests, and that Mr. DeMint's withdrawal will not be used as an excuse

for additional delays.

Moreover, in their partial January 28, 2019 responses Premier and Letcavage

changed – yet again – the identity of their Fed. R.Civ.Proc. 30(b)(6) witness, months

after that deposition was first scheduled (and cancelled by defendants, repeatedly).

Mr. Sheetz has advised the SEC that Letcavage will serve as the 30(b)(6) witness for

both defendants during the week of February 25, 2019. Before any withdrawal is

granted, defendants should confirm that this deposition (and any others that might be

scheduled that same week) will take place, either with substitute counsel, Mr. DeMint

or Mr. Sheetz, or otherwise, and that any substitution not be deemed an acceptable

excuse for additional delay.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 4, 2019

/s/ Howard A. Fischer

Howard A. Fischer

Bennett Ellenbogen

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Securities and Exchange Commission

3

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. My business address is:

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, 200 Vesey Street, New York, NY 10281 Telephone No. (212) 336-0062.

1 /	
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BY ANTHONY DEMINT TO WIT	to be served the document entitled PLAINTIFF COMMISSION'S RESPONSE TO MOTION INDICATE TO DEFENDANTS TO RANDALL LETCAVAGE on all the parties the attached service list:
familiar with this agency's practice t	lacing in sealed envelope(s), which I placed for ng ordinary business practices. I am readily for collection and processing of correspondence ould be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on of business.
□ PERSONAL DEPOSI which I personally deposited with the deposited with the U.S. Postal Service postage thereon fully prepaid.	T IN MAIL: By placing in sealed envelope(s), e U.S. Postal Service. Each such envelope was ce at Los Angeles, California, with first class
EXPRESS U.S. MAIL regularly maintained at the U.S. Post Angeles, California, with Express M	: Each such envelope was deposited in a facility al Service for receipt of Express Mail at Los ail postage paid.
☐ HAND DELIVERY: It to the office of the addressee as state	caused to be hand delivered each such envelope d on the attached service list.
■ UNITED PARCEL SE designated by United Parcel Service which I deposited in a facility regula courier, at Los Angeles, California.	CRVICE: By placing in sealed envelope(s) ("UPS") with delivery fees paid or provided for, rly maintained by UPS or delivered to a UPS
BLECTRONIC MAIL mail to the electronic mail address as	By transmitting the document by electronic stated on the attached service list.
► E-FILING: By causing Court's CM/ECF system, which effer registered with the CM/ECF system.	g the document to be electronically filed via the ects electronic service on counsel who are
☐ FAX: By transmitting transmission was reported as comple	the document by facsimile transmission. The te and without error.
I declare under penalty of perj	ury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Date: February 4, 2019	/s/ Howard Fischer
	Howard Fischer

SEC v. Premier Holding et al. United States District Court—Central District of California Case No. 8:18-cv-00813-CJC-KES

SERVICE LIST

Anthony N. DeMint
DeMint Law, PLLC
3753 Howard Hughes Parkway
Second Floor, Suite 314
Las Vegas, NV 89169
Email: anthony@demintlaw.com
Attorney for Defendants Premier Holding Corporation and Randall
Letcavage

Darryl Scheetz
Law Offices of Darryl C. Sheetz
335 Centennial Way
Suite 100
Tustin, CA 92780
Email: dcsheetz@aol.com
Attorney for Defendants Premier Holding Corporation and Randall Letcavage

Joseph Greenblatt (served by email) 1701 Brookshire Ave. Tustin, CA 92780-6642 Email: jboatjoe@gmail.com **Pro Se**